### Case 2:21-cv-05125 VPPC CONFIER SHEET 1/23/21 Page 1 of 25

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
PAUL MARTIN				THE PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Camden (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
	Esquire ci Law LLC, 1525 Lo	ocust Street, 9th F		Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	19102 215-545-767		ш ст	 	DINCIDA	I DADTIES	DI WYEN .		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	× 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  P	TF DEF		nd One Box for I		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT		RTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY		NKRUPTCY	=	STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting × 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	74 75 79 79	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	### 423 Wit 28    PROPE    ## 820 Cop	ent - Abbreviated w Drug Application	480 Consur (15 US 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/ 850 Securit Excha 890 Other S 891 Agricu 895 Freedo Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re	am (31 USC a)) teapportion ust and Bankin terce tation teer Influen of Organizat mer Credit SC 1681 or tonone Consu- tion Act Sat TV ties/Commonge Statutory A ditural Acts normental M om of Inforn ation ation ation tistrative Pr view or AF y Decision tutionality	mment mg med and tions 1692) mer odities/ actions fatters mation rocedure opeal of
1^1 5 1 1	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		erred from er District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. Brief description of ca	. ("ADEA"); 42 U.S.C. §20 .use:	000e, et s	Oo not cite jurisdictional state eq. ("Title VII"); 43 P.S. § unlawful discrimination ar	951, et seq. (	"PHRA")			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ cess of \$75,000		CHECK YES only i	if demanded in	n complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE A			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE November 23, 2021 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (	OF RECORD					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

## Case 2:21-cv-05195/it**Doswaten district of pennsylvania** Page 2 of 25 for the eastern district of pennsylvania

#### DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	Cherry Hill, NJ				
Address of Defendant: 600 Dresher Road, Horsham, PA 19044					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year yes No V					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit  Yes  No  Verification of the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit  Yes					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent al numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.					
DATE: 11/23/2021	v-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff  Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
Autorite	Anomey 1.10 Se I mining				
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
	B. Diversity Juristiction Cases.				
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract     □ 2. FELA     □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury     □ 4. Antitrust     □ 5. Patent     □ 6. Labor-Management Relations     ☑ 7. Civil Rights     □ 8. Habeas Corpus     □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases     □ 10. Social Security Review Cases     □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases     (Please specify):					
<ul> <li>□ 2. FELA</li> <li>□ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury</li> <li>□ 4. Antitrust</li> <li>□ 5. Patent</li> <li>□ 6. Labor-Management Relations</li> <li>☑ 7. Civil Rights</li> <li>□ 8. Habeas Corpus</li> <li>□ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases</li> <li>□ 10. Social Security Review Cases</li> <li>□ 11. All other Federal Question Cases</li> <li>(Please specify):</li> </ul>	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability – Asbestos  9. All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify):				
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBI (The effect of this certification)	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability – Asbestos  9. All other Diversity Cases				
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARB (The effect of this certification)	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability – Asbestos  9. All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify):  TRATION CERTIFICATION				
□ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 4. Antitrust □ 5. Patent □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 7. Civil Rights □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 10. Social Security Review Cases □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARB (The effect of this certification of the content of the c	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability – Asbestos  9. All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify):  TRATION CERTIFICATION  In is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
□ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 4. Antitrust □ 5. Patent □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 7. Civil Rights □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 10. Social Security Review Cases □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBI (The effect of this certification I,	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability – Asbestos  9. All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify):  TRATION CERTIFICATION  In is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  ARBI (The effect of this certification)  I, Lane J. Schiff Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the bese exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and co Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Assault, Defamation  4. Marine Personal Injury  5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):  7. Products Liability  8. Products Liability – Asbestos  9. All other Diversity Cases  (Please specify):  TRATION CERTIFICATION  In is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				

#### 

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
215-545-7676	215-565-2859	schiff@consolelaw.com	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
11/23/2021	Lw.)	- Plaintiff, Paul Martin	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall in	nto any one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly referred to as	s complex and that need	o tracks (a) through (d) that are special or intense management by tailed explanation of special	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ring claims for personal	injury or property damage from	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for	r arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a d nying plaintiff Social Se	ecision of the Secretary of Health curity Benefits.	( )
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C	. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE M	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendants	ase Management Track I we a copy on all defendant event that a defendant of shall, with its first appearties, a Case Manageme	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counse Designation Form in all civil cases at the tires. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re- loes not agree with the plaintiff regarding arance, submit to the clerk of court and ser- nt Track Designation Form specifying the assigned.	ne of verse said ve on
THE PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSU COMPANY	URANCE :	NO.	
v.	:	CIVIE MCTION	
PAUL MARTIN	:	CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PAUL MARTIN : CIVIL ACTION NO.

Cherry Hill, NJ

.

Plaintiff,

:

**v.** 

THE PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE

COMPANY

600 Dresher Road,

Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044

:

Defendant.

**COMPLAINT** 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Paul Martin, brings this action against his former employer, The Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company, for unlawful age and sex discrimination in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et seq. ("Title VII"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, et seq. (hereinafter "PHRA"). Plaintiff seeks damages, including back-pay, front-pay, compensatory, punitive, liquidated, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other relief that this Court deems appropriate.

#### II. <u>PARTIES</u>

- 1. Plaintiff, Paul Martin, is an individual and a citizen of the state of New Jersey.

  He resides in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.
- 2. Plaintiff is a male and was a fifty (53) year of age at the time Defendant terminated his employment.

- 3. Defendant, The Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company, is a corporation maintaining a place of business located at 600 Dresher Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through its authorized agents and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant and in furtherance of Defendant's business.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an employer within the meanings of the ADEA, Title VII, and PHRA.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee within the meanings of the ADEA, Title VII, and PHRA.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. The causes of action that form the basis of this matter arise under the ADEA, Title VII, and the PHRA.
- 8. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (ADEA) and Count II (Title VII), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 9. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count III (PHRA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
  - 10. Venue is proper in the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).
- 11. On or about February 13, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Complaint with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC") cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of the acts of discrimination alleged herein ("PHRC Complaint"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the PHRC Complaint (with personal identifying information redacted).

- 12. On or about September 7, 2021, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue. Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of this notice (with minor redactions for purposes of electronic filing of confidential/identifying information).
- 13. Plaintiff is filing this complaint within ninety (90) days from his receipt of this notice.
- 14. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

#### IV. <u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 15. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from in or about March 1984 until on or about November 29, 2019, the date of his unlawful termination of employment.
- 16. Plaintiff most recently held the position of Assistant Vice President, Field Liaison Group.
- 17. During his over thirty-five (35) years of employment, Plaintiff consistently performed his job duties in an excellent manner.
- 18. In or about August 2019, Plaintiff was transferred from Defendant's Distribution Department into Defendant's Practice Development Department.
- 19. At that time, Plaintiff began reporting to Heather Scher (female, approximate age37), Vice President, Practice Development.
- 20. In or about October 2019, following Plaintiff's transfer from Defendant's Distribution Department, Defendant hired Stephanie Luft (female, approximate age 32) into a newly created Distribution Operations and Account Manager position within Defendant's Distribution Department.

- 21. To Plaintiff's knowledge, the Distribution Operations and Account Manager position was not posted. Had the position been posted, and had Plaintiff known that his job was in jeopardy, he would have applied for this position.
- 22. Plaintiff was more qualified than Luft for the Distribution Operations and Account Manager position.
- 23. In or about October 2019, following Plaintiff's transfer from Defendant's Distribution Department, Defendant hired Andrew Christenson (male, approximate age 36) into a newly created Director of Sales & Financials position within Defendant's Distribution Department.
- 24. To Plaintiff's knowledge, the Director of Sales & Financials position was not posted. Had the position been posted, and had Plaintiff known that his job was in jeopardy, he would have applied for this position.
- 25. Plaintiff was more qualified than Christenson for the Director of Sales & Financials position.
- 26. In or about September 2019, following Plaintiff's transfer into Defendant's Practice Development Department, Defendant hired Jennifer Royal (female, approximate age 37), an external candidate, into a newly created Field Operations Director position within Defendant's Practice Development Department.
- 27. To Plaintiff's knowledge, the Field Operations Director position was not posted. Had the position been posted, and had Plaintiff known that his job was in jeopardy, he would have applied for this position.
  - 28. Plaintiff was more qualified than Royal for the Field Operations Director position.

- 29. In or about October 2019, following Plaintiff's transfer into Defendant's Practice Development Department, Defendant hired Tim Uliana (male, approximate age 39) into a newly created Field Operations Director position within Defendant's Practice Development Department.
- 30. To Plaintiff's knowledge, the Field Operations Director position was not posted. Had the position been posted, and had Plaintiff known that his job was in jeopardy, he would have applied for this position.
- 31. Plaintiff was more qualified than Uliana for the Field Operations Director position.
- 32. On or about November 20, 20219, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment, effective December 31, 2019.
- 33. Defendant's articulated reason for Plaintiff's termination was position elimination.
  - 34. Defendant's articulated reason for the termination of Plaintiff is pretext.
- 35. At the time of Plaintiff's termination, seven (7) employees reported to Scher, the majority of whom were women. Plaintiff was additionally one (1) of the two (2) oldest employees reporting to Scher.
- 36. Plaintiff was the only employee reporting to Scher who was terminated on November 20, 2019.
- 37. Plaintiff was more qualified and experienced than each of the other employees reporting to Scher.

- 38. Defendant failed to offer any explanation, including the selection criteria, as to why Plaintiff was terminated and the substantially younger and/or female employees were retained.
- 39. Following Plaintiff's termination, Defendant assigned Plaintiff's job duties to Royal and Uliana, both of whom were less qualified than Plaintiff to perform the job duties.
- 40. Defendant's conduct and comments additionally evidence a bias against older and/or male employees.
- 41. Defendant routinely states publicly its preference for millennial and "Generation Z employees," its efforts to recruit and hire "more people out of college," and its renewed focus on a younger workforce.
- 42. Defendant stated publicly its success in attracting younger personnel, boasting that in just over two and a half (2.5) years, Defendant lowered the average age of its advisors from fifty-seven (57) to forty-eight years (48) old, and that thirty-three (33) is the average age of those entering on the company's career track.
  - 43. Defendant routinely states publicly its focus on "hiring more women."
- 44. On multiple occasions, McDonnell described Defendant's workforce as "pale, male, and stale."
- 45. On multiple occasions, Scher described Defendant's workforce as "pale, male, and stale" and stated that "the problem" Defendant faced was that its average employee was fifty-eight (58) years old and male.
- 46. Bill Stevens, Vice President of Career Agency System, told Plaintiff on multiple occasions that he felt pressure from Eileen McDonnell, Chair and Chief Executive Officer, to hire more female employees and believed that, if he failed to do so, he would be terminated.

- 47. Jessica Choi, AVP Talent Acquisition & Diversity, told Plaintiff that she felt pressure to hire more female employees.
- 48. Defendant has a pattern and practice of pushing out and/or terminating older and/or male employees. At or around the time of Plaintiff's termination, Defendant terminated the employment of numerous older male employees.
- 49. Plaintiff's age (53) was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to not hire him into the Distribution Operations and Account Manager position, Director of Sales & Financials position, and Field Operations Director positions.
- 50. Plaintiff's age (53) was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to terminate his employment.
- 51. Plaintiff's sex (male) was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to not hire him into the Distribution Operations and Account Manager position, Director of Sales & Financials position, and Field Operations Director positions.
- 52. Plaintiff's sex (male) was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to terminate his employment.
- 53. Plaintiff's combination of age and sex was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to not hire him into the Distribution Operations and Account Manager position, Director of Sales & Financials position, and Field Operations Director positions.
- 54. Plaintiff's combination of age and sex was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to terminate his employment.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem,

mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.

56. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNT I - ADEA**

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates the paragraphs above as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 58. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant violated the ADEA.
- 59. Defendant's violations of the ADEA were intentional and willful under the circumstances, warranting the imposition of liquidated damages.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the ADEA, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein.
- 61. Plaintiff has incurred and is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
  - 62. No previous application been made for the relief requested herein.

#### COUNT II - Title VII

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 64. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant violated Title VII.
- 65. Defendant acted with malice or a reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights, thereby warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
  - 66. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Plaintiff

has suffered the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein.

- 67. Plaintiff has incurred and is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
  - 68. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNT III – PHRA**

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the paragraphs above, as if set forth at length herein.
- 70. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant violated the PHRA.
- 71. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein.
- 72. Plaintiff has incurred and is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
  - 73. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks damages and legal and equitable relief in connection with Defendant's improper conduct, and specifically prays that the Court grant the following relief to the Plaintiff by:

- a. declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the
   ADEA;
- b. declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of Title
   VII;

c. declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the PHRA;

- d. enjoining and restraining permanently the violations alleged herein;
- e. awarding Plaintiff back-pay;
- f. awarding Plaintiff front-pay;
- g. awarding interest;
- h. awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future emotional upset and pain and suffering;
  - i. awarding liquidated damages;
  - j. awarding punitive damages;
- k. awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees;
- awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under the ADEA, Title
   VII, and the PHRA; and
  - m. granting such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC

Date: November 23, 2021 By:

ŠTEPHEN G. CONSOLE LANE J. SCHIFF HOLLY SMITH 1525 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 545-7676 (856) 545-8211 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Paul Martin

## EXHIBIT A

#### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **GOVERNOR'S OFFICE**

#### PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

:

Paul Martin,

Complainant

v.

:

: PHRC Case No. 201903118

Penn Mutual Life Insurance Co.,

: EEOC No. 17F202061334

Respondent

.

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### **JURISDICTION**

1. Jurisdiction is pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act 43 P.S. §§ 951-963.

#### **PARTIES**

2. The Complainant herein is:

Paul Martin

redacted

3. The Respondent herein is:

Penn Mutual Life Insurance Co. 600 Dresher Road Horsham, PA 19044 To: Page 3 of 10

2/13/2020 1:35:23 PM EST

12158275101 From: Anna Smith

Case 2:21-cv-05195 Document 1 Filed 11/23/21 Page 16 of 25

Received

FEB 1 3 2020

PA Human Relations Commission Philadelphia Regional Office

## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

#### COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT:

PAUL MARTIN

Docket No. 2019 03118

٧,

RESPONDENT:

PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

1. The Complainant herein is:

Name:

Address:

Paul Martin

redacted

2. The Respondent herein is:

Name:

Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company

Address:

600 Dresher Road Horsham, PA 19044

3. I. <u>Paul Martin</u>, the Complainant herein, allege that I was subjected to unlawful discrimination because of my age (53), my sex (male), and/or the combination of my age and sex ("age/sex") as set forth below.

#### Discrimination

#### A. I specifically allege:

[1] I was hired by Respondent in or about March 1984.

- I consistently performed my job duties in a highly competent manner and [2]: received positive feedback during my more than thirty-five (35) years of service at Respondent.
- Hast held the position of Assistant Vice President ("AVP"), Field Liaison [3] Group.
- I last reported to Heather Scher (371/female), Vice President, Practice [4] Development. Scher reported to David O'Malley (45/male), President and Chief Operating Officer. O'Malley reported to Eileen McDonnell (57/female), Chair and Chief Executive Officer.
- In or about August 2019, I was transferred from Respondent's Distribution Department into Respondent's Practice Development Department.
- In or about August 2019, following my transfer into Respondent's Practice Development Department, I began reporting to Scher.
- In or about October 2019, after I was transferred out of Respondent's [7] Distribution Department, Respondent hired Stephanie Luft (32/female) to the newly created Distribution Operations and Account Manager position within Respondent's Distribution Department. To my knowledge, the position was not posted. If this position had been posted and I had known that my job was in jeopardy, I would have applied. I was more qualified for this position.
- In or about October 2019, after I was transferred out of Respondent's Distribution Department, Respondent hired Andrew Christenson (36/male), an external candidate, to the newly created Director of Sales & Financials position within Respondent's Distribution Department. To my knowledge, the position was not posted. If this position had

<sup>!</sup> All ages herein are approximations.

To:

been posted and I had known that my job was in jeopardy, I would have applied. I was more qualified for this position.

- In or about September 2019, Respondent hired Jennifer Royal (37/female), 191 an external candidate, to the newly created Field Operations Director position within Respondent's Practice Development Department. To my knowledge, the position was not posted. If this position had been posted and I had known that my job was in jeopardy, I would have applied. I was more qualified for this position.
- In or about October 2019, Respondent hired Tim Uliana (39/male), an [10] external candidate, to the newly created Field Operations Director position within Respondent's Practice Development Department. To my knowledge, the position was not posted. If this position had been posted and I had known that my job was in jeopardy, I would have applied. I was more qualified for this position.
- On or about November 20, 2019, in a meeting with Scher and Gabriela [11] "Gabe" Capitano (59/male), Human Resources Director, Respondent terminated my employment, effective December 31, 2019. I was blindsided. Before the termination meeting, I had no indication that my job was in jeopardy. Respondent's stated reason was position elimination.
  - Respondent's stated reason for my termination is false and pretextual. [12]
  - Respondent terminated me because of my age and/or sex and/or age/sex. [13]
- At the time of my termination, the following employees, in addition to me, [14] reported directly to Scher: Jaclyn Bradley (45/female), AVP, Practice Development; Laurie Dougherty (35/female), Manager, Learning and Development; Kristin Huston (35/female), Director, Event Management and Recognition Programs; Jennifer Royal (37/female), Director,

Field Operations; Tim Uliana (39/male), Director, Field Operations; and Michael Williams (54/male), AVP, Business Development & Agency Integration.

- I was as or more qualified to perform each of the above employees' [15] positions.
  - I had more service time at Respondent than each of the above employees. [16]
- I was the only employee reporting to Scher who was terminated on [17] November 20, 2019.
- Respondent failed to offer any explanation, including the selection criteria, [18] as to why I was terminated and the substantially younger and/or female employees were retained.
- Following my termination, Respondent assigned my job duties to Royal [19] and Uliana, substantially younger and/or female employees who were hired into newly created roles before my termination. I was more qualified to perform my job duties than the substantially younger and/or female employees to whom they were assigned.
  - I was not offered any opportunity to remain employed at Respondent. [20]
- Respondent terminated my employment because of my age, sex, and/or [21] my age/sex.
- Respondents' conduct and comments evidence a bias against older [22] employees.
- Respondent routinely states publicly its preference for millennial and [23]"Generation Z" employees, its efforts to recruit and hire "more people out of college," and its "renewed focus on a younger, more diverse workforce."
- Respondent stated publicly its "success in attracting younger personnel," [24] boasting that in just over two and a half (2.5) years, Respondent "lowered the average age of its

advisors from 57 to 48 years old," and that "33 is the average age of those entering on the company's career track."

- [25] Respondent's conduct and comments evidence a bias toward male employees.
  - [26] Respondent routinely states publicly its focus on "hiring more women."
- [27] In or about late-summer 2019, in a meeting attended by Respondent's employees at AVP level or higher, and in or about early-October 2019, in a meeting attended by Respondent's employees of all levels, Scher described Respondent's workforce as "pale, male, and state" and stated that "the problem" Respondent faced was that its average employee was fifty-eight (58) years old and male.
- [28] Between 2015 and 2017, Bill Stevens (58/male), Vice President ("VP") of Career Agency System, told me on multiple occasions that he felt pressure from McDonnell to hire more female employees and believed that, if he failed to do so, he would be fired.
- [29] In or about 2017, Jessica Choi (39/female), AVP Talent Acquisition & Diversity, told me that she felt pressure to hire more female employees.
- [30] Respondent has a pattern and practice of pushing out and/or terminating older and/or male employees. Respondent has recently pushed out and/or terminated the following older and/or male employees, without limitation:
  - a. Kevin Reynolds (60/male)
  - b. David Williams (55/male)
  - c. Andrew Martin (45/male)
  - d. Scott Johnson (58/male)
  - Matthew Spring (41/male)

- g. Mic Jund (49/male)
- h. Mike Dunne (48/male)
- i. Adam Bass (47/male)
- j. Joe Radovic (47/male)
- k. Dominic Monte (44/male)
- Patrick Harper (49/male)
- m. Earl Whipple (54/male)
- [31] Respondent's age, sex, and age/sex discriminatory conduct has caused me emotional distress.
- [32] I bring this Complaint as a class and pattern and practice Complaint on behalf of myself and any and all current or former employees of Respondent who are age forty (40) and over, and who have been discriminated against based on age (including intentional age discrimination and disparate impact on older workers) in connection with hiring, promotion, position selection, training, leadership, development, and termination decisions.
- [33] I bring this Complaint as a class and pattern and practice Complaint on behalf of myself and any and all current or former employees of Respondent who are male, and who have been discriminated against based on sex in connection with hiring, promotion, position selection, training, leadership, development, and termination decisions.
- B. Based on the aforementioned, I allege that Respondents have discriminated against me because of my age (53), my sex (male), and/or the combination of my age and sex ("age/sex") in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §

4. The allegations in Paragraph 3 hereof constitute unlawful discriminatory practices in violation of:

X	Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (Act of Octobe	r 27, 1955, P.L.
744, as	amended) Section 5 Subsection(s): (a)	
s perconstruir de de la	Section 5.1 Subsection(s)	MANAGEMENT AND
· Windowskie Williamskie Willi	Section 5.2 Subsection(s)	ng ligh Angang dan 1990 sajan madap kan dipanadah
MAKE ALCOHOLOGY CONTINUE	Pennsylvania Fair Educational Opportunities Act (Ac	t of July 17, 1961,
P.L. 760	s, as amended) Section 4 Subsection(s)	Approximately the control of the con

- Other action based upon the aforesaid allegations has been instituted by the Complainant in any court or before any other commission within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as follows:
  - This charge will be referred to the EEOC for the purpose of dual filing.
  - 6. The Complainant seeks that Respondent be required to:
    - (a) Make the Complainant whole.
    - (b) Eliminate all unlawful discriminatory practice(s) and procedure(s).
    - (c) Remedy the discriminatory effect of past practice(s) and procedure(s).
    - (d) Take further affirmative action necessary and appropriate to remedy the violation complained of herein.
    - (e) Provide such further relief as the Commission deems necessary and appropriate.

#### VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 P.A.C.A. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

211212020

(Date Signed)

(Signaturb)

Paul Martin

redacted

# EXHIBIT B

EEOC Form 161-B (11/2020)

#### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To: Par	ul Martin <mark>Jacted</mark>		From:	Philadelphia Distric 801 Market Street Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19	
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved wh CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a				
EEOC Ch	arge No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
		Damon A. Johnson,			
17F-202	20-61334	State, Local & Tribal Prog		_	(267) 589-9722
Title VII o Act (GINA been issu	THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:  of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the A  ): This is your Notice of Right to Sue ed at your request. Your lawsuit und	Americans with Disabilities Act e, issued under Title VII, the ADA or er Title VII, the ADA or GINA mus	(ADA), or GINA ot be fil	or the Genetic Inform A based on the above- led in a federal or stat	numbered charge. It has te court WITHIN 90 DAYS
	eceipt of this notice; or your right to may be different.)	sue based on this charge will be i	USI. (1	rie tirrie iirriit for filling s	uit based on a ciaim under
X	More than 180 days have passe	d since the filing of this charge.			
	Less than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.				
X	X The EEOC is terminating its processing of this charge.				
	The EEOC will continue to process this charge.				
90 days a <b>your <u>cas</u>e</b>	rimination in Employment Act (AD fter you receive notice that we have one:	<b>EA):</b> You may sue under the ADE completed action on the charge. I	n this re	egard, <b>the paragraph</b>	marked below applies to
X	The EEOC is closing your case. Therefore, your lawsuit under the ADEA <b>must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> <u>90 DAYS</u> of your receipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue based on the above-numbered charge will be lost.</b>				
	The EEOC is continuing its handling of your ADEA case. However, if 60 days have passed since the filing of the charge, you may file suit in federal or state court under the ADEA at this time.				
in federal	y Act (EPA): You already have the rigor state court within 2 years (3 years fitions that occurred more than 2 years	or willful violations) of the alleged E	PA und	derpayment. This mea	
If you file s	suit, based on this charge, please sen	d a copy of your court complaint to	this off	ice.	
		On behalf of t	he Com	nmission	
	_	Dana Rlhi	to		September 7, 2021
Enclosur	res(s)	Dana R. Hut Deputy Direc	•		(Date Issued)
cc:	For Respondent: Owner / HR Manager PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANC	E CO	Holly V	arging Party: V. Smith, Esq.	

600 Dresher Road Horsham, PA 19044

Console Mattiacci Law Via email: hollysmith@consolelaw.com